

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/609,073	SCHNEIDER ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Leslie A. Royds	1614	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Leslie A. Royds.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Office of Melissa Acosta.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 August 2005

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*None.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to the Office of Melissa Acosta on August 23, 2005 to request an oral election, but did not result in an election being made.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)